

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/368,3	78 01/14,	95 BOVA		D	94247
Γ			15 M 1/0419	٦	VENKAT ÇÆMINER	
	REESE TA	YLOR	13111,0413			
	RENNER K AND WEB		E BOBAK TAYLOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		TIONAL BANK 44308-1456			1502 DATE MAILED:	04/19/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/368,378

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Advisory Action

BOVA

J. VENKAT

Group Art Unit 1502

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the firejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	ı any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 27, 1996</u> has been considered with the following effective is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	et,
X The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
X will not be entered because:	
☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal.	the
oxtimes they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted	in a endition
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. □ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformal allowance because: The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed. A careful examination of the	in a andition
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. □ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in cofor allowance because: □ The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed. A careful examination of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the (see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the (see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the (see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the (see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the (see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the (see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the see of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the see of the se	in a andition
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. □ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformal allowance because: □ The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed. A careful examination of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as "twice daily". It is the position of the (see of the Examiner in the final rejection. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rain the Examiner in the final rejection. □ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	in a andition
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. □ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformal allowance because: □ The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed. A careful examination of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as "twice daily". It is the position of the (see of the Examiner in the final rejection. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rain the Examiner in the final rejection. □ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 13	in a endition ee her)
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. □ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in cofor allowance because: The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed. A careful examination of the parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the (see of the Examiner in the final rejection. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rain the Examiner in the final rejection. □ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims objected to:	in a endition her) sed by
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims	in a endition ee her) sed by
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims	in a endition ee her) sed by